

Appendix A

Agenda

DASA Symposium on Small Boy Event Programs 2, 6 and 7

East Building Lecture Room, Bldg 2 National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C.

0900 - 1600

13 - 14 November 1952

CDR W. W. Ennis, USN, Chairman P. Haas, DOFL, Co-Chairman Dr. C. A. Blank, DASA, Assistant

WelcomeLt.Col. R. W. McEvoy, USA Introductory RemarksGeneral R. H. Booth, USA Administrative RemarksP. Haas

Session I - Environmental Measurements

Dr. L. Wouters, Chairman

Time		Project Title		Project Officer
0915 - 0945	2.1	Gamma Dose Rate	•	P. A. Caldwoll
0945 - 1000	2.3	Neutron Flux Measurements		J. H. McNeilly
1000 - 1015	2.4	Integrated Gamma Dose		R.F. Benck
1015 - 1045	2.2	Neutron Dosc Rate		Dr. S. Kronenberg
	6.4	Semirad Initial Gamma Flux Moasurements		Dr. S. Kronenberg
1045 - 1100		Coffee Break		
1100 - 1115	6.7	Soil Conductivity Measurements		R. A. Black
1115 - 1130	6.11	Air Conductivity		Dr. M. Jones
1130 - 1200	6.12	U.K. Measuroments		Dr. E. D. Draycott
1200 - 1330		Lunch	-	
1330 - 1350	7.1.4	Gamma Environment		Dr. A. Odell
1350 - 1400	45.9	Neutron and Gamma Measurements		G. Hanson
1400 - 1430	22.1	EG & G Gamma Measuromo ts		M. Knapp

Session II - Magnetic Field Measurements

· · · ·

	4	P. Haas, Chairman	
Time		Project Title	Project Officer
1430 - 1500	6.2	Magnetic Loop Measurements	F. Wiminetz
1500 - 1530	6.3	Inhoront Magnotic Field Neasuroments	T. D. Hanscome
1530 - 1545	7.8.1	VLF Loop	Mr. Salton

Wednesday 14th Novembor 1962

Session III - Pragmatic Measurements Capt. W. Hondorson, Chairman 6.5 T. Flanagar F. Schwart Earth Curront Measurements

0945 - 1015	6.6 '	Cable Loop Measurements	il e	Green
1015 - 1045	7.1	Instrumontal Moasuroments	F. R.	Norton Buies
1045 - 1100		Coffee Break		
1100 - 1115	7.5	Response of Electric Power Systems	D.	E. Dingor
1115 - 1130	45.10	Electromagnetic Radiation Vulnerability	F.	J. Weibell
	6.2(c)	Magnetic Measuremonts	D.	J. Garrard
1200 -1300		Lunch		

1200 -1300

.

0900 - 0945

	C L	Session IV - Electric Field Measurements	×
		Dr. J. Malik, Chairman	
1300 - 1330	6.1	Eloctric Field Measurements	W. Nesbitt
1330 - 1345	6.8	Earth's Static Field Measurements	A. Whitson
1345 - 1415	6.9	Correlation of Present and Previous Electric Field Measurements	H. Reno
1415 - 1445	6.12	AWRE Measuremonts	S.D. Abercrombie
1445 - 1500	7.16	Airborne Electric Field Measurements	LCDR K. Butler
1500 - 1515	45.10	Bloctromagnotic Radiation Vulnorability	R. Parker
		Section V - Panel Discussion and Frog-For-	All

Dr. C. Longmire, Chairman

Ŧ

Theoretical Consideration and Comments on the Deta



Ref: XY/398/01

NWLC/P(62)12

D.A.S.A. Symposium on Small Boy Event Programmes 2, 6 & 7

Introduction

Through U.S./U.K. Joint Working Group No.6, we were invited to participate in a Symposium held at the National Bureau of Standards, Washington, on 13th and 14th November, 1962. At this symposium all available data were presented on the following experimental programmes of Small Boy:-

Programme 2 - Measurement of Neutron and Gamma Doses and Dose Rates.

Programme 6 - Electric and Magnetic Field Measurements, Air and Soil Conductivity.

Programme 7 - Electromagnetic Flash Target Response Measurements.

Items included in these programmes are listed in NWLC/P(62)7.

The remainder of the 88 Items of the Small Boy programme were excluded from this Symposium. The effects of nuclear radiation on electronics had been covered in a recent DASA TREE panel meeting.

A.W.R.E. were invited to describe the results of their electric field and air conductivity measurements, and in the course of the symposium the Ministry of Aviation representative was asked to describe his magnetic measurements. The programme of the Symposium, with subjects of individual contributions, is given in the Agenda at Appendix 'A'.

Nuclear Radiation Data

A considerable amount of raw data on the time dependence of the neutrons and gamma radiation, as measured in a variety of ways, was presented by DOFL, NDL, SRDL, EG & G and Northrop-Ventura. Total dose measurements were also reported. The overall impression was gained that the data from different sources only agreed to within an order of magnitude at this stage, and that there was yet much work to be done in sorting out the discrepancies, mainly due to instrumentation. Nevertheless the data from Small Boy, although not wholly consistent, represented a considerable increase in our knowledge.

Air Conductivity

As an important part of the attempt to develop a soundly based theoretical approach to the origins and propagation of radio-flash, several measurements of air and soil conductivity as a function of time were carried out by different agencies. These included air measurements by Magnetohydrodynamics Corporation under contract to U.S. Air Force Special Weapons Center and by A.W.R.E., and soil conductivity measurements by the U.S. Geological Survey. The A.W.R.E. measurements were of high time resolution and should represent an important contribution to basic knowledge.

Magnetic Field Measurements

The principal effort was that by DOFL, who measured the magnetic field by integrating the output from small pick-up loops at a number of sites. Despite overloading caused by a fairly late change in the nuclear device used for the test, their measurements were largely successful, and included for the first time measurements 100 ft. below ground. Notes of many of their curves have been made. The Ministry of Aviation contribution, which included the measurement of the peak field pick-up by search coils inside 8 aluminium containers placed at ground level, was associated with this work.



It was reported that the large programme of direct measurements of the magnetic field by Hughes Aircraft Co. had proved abortive.

Electric Field Measurements

The main U.S. effort on electric field measurements was by Boeing Airplane Company. The considerable amount of raw data obtained was reported but will require a considerable degree of interpretation, in view of the nature of the equipment used. The largely successful corresponding measurements by A.W.R.E. were also reported, as were several unsuccessful U.S. experiments.

Effects on Electronic Systems

One of the more expensive programmes at Small Boy, was an elaborate investigation of the currents induced in buried multi-core cable systems. This was performed by the Bell Telephone Laboratories, by Sandia Corporation, by Allied Research Associates for A.F.S.W.C., and others. Measurements were made at a number of places of the wave-form of the induced currents, and peak current indicators were widely distributed. The cables laid included a number of both bare and insulated radial cables, and both large and small cable loops. The aim was to include both basic measurements, and also to give some help in the estimation of the hazard to a particular type of American I.C.B.M. underground installation. Most of the cables were buried about 3ft. below the surface. Although currents up to several thousands of amps. were recorded the currents ranging into tens of thousands of amps which had been anticipated were not found. To this extent the experiments were somewhat reassuring, but it must be remembered that the shot was a small one, and the scaling laws to yields of interest in this application are still open to a moderate degree of doubt. seems reasonable to expect that some American agencies will wish for a further investigation involving a much higher yield burst.

Results were also reported of measurements at a second shot - Johnny Boy -. at which a cable loop completely encircled ground zero. These latter results were limited by instrumental failures, and did no more than indicate that peak valves were consistent with those found at Small Boy.

Reports

The whole Symposium was recorded, and copies of graphs and illustrations were handed in. D.A.S.A. intend to produce a full Symposium record in the next few weeks and U.K. have been promised copies of what should be a most valuable document. As most of the mass of detail was presented in the form of slides and epidiascope projections, note-taking by U.K. participants was extensive but scrappy. Briefings are being arranged for U.K. JOWOG-6 members and others interested in further details.

Conclusions

It is evident that while a surprising number of experiments failed completely, a considerable amount of information has been added to our knowledge of radioflach and its effects. Nevertholoos it is equally evident that this information has not yet been fully digested in the U.S., and it appears likely that the Small Boy event, while considerably advancing our knowledge, will have raised as many questions as it has answered.

There is clear evidence that the fields, even from a very nearly perfectly symmetrical explosion, vary considerably with azimuth, and that the magnitudes of the fields do not at all decrease monotonically with increasing distance.

> <u>SECRET</u> -2-

A64/XY/222/05. NOTE: This is summary of B. J. Stralser's report of 30 April 1961, "Electromagnetic Effects from Nuclear Tests", DASA-1226, E.G.G. report 1-523 CONFIDENTIAL DISCREE E.G.G. report L-523 (68 pages), Some Observations of Electro Magnetic Flash Damage resulting from Nuclear Explosions Report filed: 7 March 1963

The information presented below has been acquired incidentally during nuclear weapon tests, when instrumentation has been designed to record other effects, and is therefore mainly empirical. Awareness has nevertheless grown that electro-magnetic radiation can cause severe damage or operational disruption in all kinds of electrical systems.

Electro-magnetic radiation from a weapon encompasses a very broad band of radio frequencies and can induce large voltages, and currents in conductors and circuits, even when they are remote from the explosion. Complex control circuits and communication and power lines represent large antennae in which disturbing signals are induced by the radiation and are particularly vulnerable, and damage can occur many miles from the explosion.

The examples quoted here are limited to observations made by the personnel of Edgerton, Germeshausen and Grier during test series from 1951 to 1958. The charts summarize damage under the categories of

- 1) Damage to Signals Systems
- 2) Damage to Power Systems
- 3) Damage to Systems using Earthing and Screening protection.
- 4) Miscellaneous Damage.

Examination of the data shows that while, in general, damage increases with the yield of the device, and air and balloon shots are more damaging than tower or underground shots, it is not possible to correlate effects directly with either yield, type of device or height of burst. Moreover, while a typical pattern of damage can be observed where extensive cable lines exist, so that probable sites of damage can be indicated, the induced voltages nevertheless tend to build up in an unpredictable manner, similar to a lightning strike. An example of this is the violent explosion at a conduit entrance 15 miles from G.Z. with no recorded damage to the intermediate signals system.

As would be expected, the lighter conductors used in signals systems showed more serious damage than power lines, but the effect was transmitted to greater distances by the heavier cables, causing circuit breakers to trip at distances of over 30 miles from G.Z. Damage to equipment at intermediate stations could be catastrophic in either case.

A point not apparent from the damage summaries should be noted in respect of telephone communications. The contractors state that it was found necessary to remove the commercial type carbon blocks for voltage surge protection, since these were found in most cases to fuse or weld together, short-circuiting the signal. Jumpers were substituted in their place.

Protection against anticipated effects was employed only in the case of certain oquipment, in which extensive earthing and screening devices were used, and these were to a large extent effective. The danger to electronic equipment, however, is shown by the random malfunctioning of the counting unit, controlling timing of camera shutters. This effect was subsequently ropeated by an experimentally induced electric field, confirming the cause of failure. It is generally clear that increased sensitivity of equipment implies increased vulnerability to electromagnetic effects, and that protection of escilloscopes is necessary to avoid obliteration ('blooming') or distortion of the signal.

Actual measurement of an induced voltage is shown in only one example, where approximately 3250 volts was recorded by a galvanometer, protected by a 10,000 volt air gap, inserted in an unconnected 5½ miles length (paired) of timing signal lines, running from within ½ mile of G.Z.

			DICODE	-	
Damage	to Signals System	15	CONFIDENTIAL		1.
Typica	1		Distance from G.Z.		
	1500' <u>Connection</u> Invariably bl or fused	Box Timing-Diato own Station Meters, rei burned out. The strips melte	2 miles 5 miles <u>Abution</u> <u>Timing stations</u> Meters, potentioneters, relays tys destroyed. Pins melted off r mainal meters burned out. ad	8 miles damaged or elays,	17 miles <u>Control Point</u> Meters,Relays burned
	Ins	Cables fused. ulation breakdown	Cables fused Cable insulation Insulation break- damage down	Cable insula damage	ition
Example	<u>.</u>	·			
Yield	Type and Height of Burst				
)ver-nominal	Tower 700'		2 miles Timing Stns. 6 meters and potentioneters 3 relays destroyed	9	17 miles Control Pt. 2 maters destroyed
Sub-nominal nominal and wer-nominal	Series of balloon shots No height given	1500' Connection box for balloon signal cable destroyed.	<pre>% mile > 2 miles Timing ; Timing Distn.Stn. Insulation br Insulation destroyed cables. Conductors fused together.</pre>	Stns. oakdown on	
Nominal	Tower 500'		3500' Timing Distn. Stu. Random malfunction of indicators		
Nominal	Air 524'		Rando	$2\frac{1}{2}$ miles Timing station m malfunction of indicators	
Sub-nominal	Series of Balloon shots No height given	1500 ¹ Connection box for balloon signal cable destroyed	<pre>fmile Timing Distn.Stn. Cables to sub-station and Timing stations damaged.</pre>		
Not given	Series of Tower shots No height given	1500 [‡] Suppression box Capacitors destroyed	≟ mile Timing Distn. Stn. Relays burnt out		
Nominal	Air 60201		Tel c cont fuso	3 miles phono relay stn. Carbon acts fused. Conductors d in cables on far side.	
Over-nominal	Towar 300'				13 miles Control point Explosion at conduit entrance. Lead sheathing evaporated
Not Civen	Underground Serics		about 1 mile Signal cables fused in underground tunnel		

• (2)	30 miles Fower station Oil circuit breakers tripped						
CONFIDENTIAL DISCREET	1000' 3 miles 12 miles 12 miles 12 miles 12 miles 1000' arcing Power distribution Station Power distribution station Control Point Unses blown, arcing across insulation. Short-circuiting 011 vircuit breakers across transformer windings. Arcing to transformer case.	Pinhole damage to cable . Cable insulation damage insulation, near to sub-station		불 mile. Experimental cages; 불 mile radius from G.2.	Cables buried 18" depth. All destroyed by pinholes in insulation.	1000' Sub-station and power stations; 6' underground. Cable between stations destroyed. Transformer primary fused, and arced to core.	about 1 mile, underground tunnel. Insulation damage, by charring, to power cables.
to Power Systems cal			nples	Type and Height of Burst	Tower 300'	Tower 300'	Underground series
Damage			Exa	<u>Yield</u>	Over- nominal	LantmoN	Not giver

CONFIDENTIAL DISCRET. 5.	Distanco from G.Z.	Station at 3000 1 Resistors destroyad	Station at 3000 ¹ Oscilloscope oxploded	1000 ¹ Station 6 ¹ underground. Transformer primary fused, arcing to core. Malfunctioned in random manner.	: Station at 3000' Pins of rectifiors in oscilloscopes burned off. Glass envolope shattered in most cases. 6 x 4 type rectifiers.	11 milos Oscilloscope with photo-multiplier, for light analysis (unscroaned) "Ball-of-yarn" distortion of trace.	about 1 mile, underground tunnel Breakdown of cable insulation, burned spots for 50 feat.	
cortain Systems in which exten	Type and Hoight of Burst	Series of Tower and balloon shots	Tower 500t	Тотат 3001	Series of Tower and balloon shots	Tower 500'	Underground Series	
Damage to	Yiold	Not given	Over nominal	Nominal	Not given	Mominal	Not given	

CONFIDENTIAL

CONTIDENT DISCRET	Distance from G.Z.	3 miles 1000 feat length of 4-conductor, 6000 V cable, on reel, unconnected. 600-V cable Pinhole damage to insulation along complete length.	3000' 1000 feet length of single-pair wire, on surface, unconnected. Draped over station building. Burning at end touching metal plug in wall, 3^{H} burning on wall, melting of wires for $\frac{1}{2}^{\text{H}}$.	5월 miles Galvanometer recording of 3250 volts induced in timing signal lines, unconnected, running from timing distribution stati n at 불 mile from G.Z.	CONFIDENTIAL
a Demago	Type and Height of Burst	Balloon 1500'	Tower 3001	Balloon 1500'	
Miscellandous	Yielâ	Nominal	Nominal	Nomine.1	

XY/306/01

Minutes of a lecting held in Room 208, Horseferry House, Dean Ryle Street, S.W.1, at 10.30 a.m. on 20th October, 1964.

	Present		Repres	enting			
Fr.	H.A. Sargeaunt	In the Chair	Sc. Adv., Ho	me Offic	e.		
l To	J. Brooker		Home Office,	, COMMIS D	ranch		
IT.	R.E. Glaysner		0	11			
I I a	P. forley		11	11			
i Ta	R. Atson		11	UCU Div	nn .		
i P+	R. FIFTH		71	SAR	774		
2.22	R F Count		31	1	• 1		
i no	G B Stanbury		11	E+			
Ir.	E. Leader-Filliams			F1			
Ir.	N.F. Law		11	Tarn. 3	lon.	Branch	
1 70	J. Gellv		11	11	- 11	н	
г.	G. Potter		11	н	- 11	11	
"Sir	W.H. Nerton		Insp. Cen. of	C.D.			
lr.	G.E.C. Hurst		linistry of	Tublic B	uildi	ng and	"orks
lr.	C.W. Fott		C.T.G.E./T.	÷			
Yr.	E.J. Whitcher		London lec.	Board.			
ìr.	T. Kilvington		G.T.O./T.J.				
lr.	N. Ford		G.T.O./I.T.	. TB.			
Mr.	R.H. Franklin		G.T.O./D.	L.M.O.			
Col	. T.W. Armour			1			
Gp.	Capt. P.M. Chettle		L.G.A. A.D.	./ ^{v.} .D.2			
Nr.	D.J. Garrard		10 A.V.	.D.2 (Eff	ects)		
lr.	T.S. Popham		M.O.D./Ord.	Board			
Ers.	. N.E. Wilkie	Secretary	F.O.k. a.K.	.D.2.			

Nr. Sargeaunt introduced the speakers from the linistry of Aviation and 1. the Crdnance Board, and said that the meeting was specifically concerned with the hazard from electromagnetic flash to electrical installations and equipments of all kinds. <u>Group Captain Chettle</u> said that it was necessary to correlate the damage radius for IM flash with those of the more obvious hazards from a nuclear burst, in order to assess its significance at any given A brief summary of the main effects, including nuclear radiation, position. would be presented, proceeding to EF flash phenomena. Thence the meeting should proceed to its main purpose, which was to obtain the views of users of equipments and installations threatened by this hazard. A knowledge of the problems in the communications and power transmission fields was necessary, in order that research could be directed to their solution. Fork in this field was co-ordinated by the Nuclear Leapons Lethality Committee. This was an inter-departmental committee which was the link between the specialists . The staff of working at A.V.R.E. and those in other Government departments. D.A.W.D., Finistry of Aviation, acted as executive to the committee.

2. <u>Ir. Garrard</u> said that information on EF flash had lagged behind that on other effects since instrumentation at earlier trials was specifically aimed at weapon design measurements. Nuch of the data had been accumulated incidentally in making other measurements, and was in consequence less complete than was desirable. However, a few trials had been instrumented to obtain the required parameters, and a sufficiently consistent reservoir of knowledge now existed for the formulation of a theoretical model adequate for engineering purposes.

3. <u>Ar. Garrard</u> showed comparative curves for damage radii for the main effects, and pointed out that only at low yields (of a few kilotons) did the radius for permanent nuclear radiation damage exceed those for blast and thermal damage. We then demonstrated the rate of emission, with a

-1-

41

SFCRET

time history beginning at 10 nano-seconds. Actually, 5, of the gamma radiation was emitted in the first microsecond, and 20, by the end of one second. To this must be added the neutron emission, which reinforced the gamma pulse by interaction with air and ground elements.

4. Typical curves for the pulse from a megaton and a nominal weapon were shown, giving time history at 1 mile and 2,000 ft. range (from burst) respectively. He pointed out that the To flash hazard, deriving from the ionising nature of the gamma pulse, was a transient response causing misfiring, or loss of reference in memory circuits. Neutrons, on the other hand, caused permanent damage, changing the electrical characteristics of semi-conductor components. A normal criterion for damage to transistors would be 50. loss in current gain, but sensitivity could be much greater if adjustment were critical. Neutron damage was unlikely to be significant beyond the range for severe blast damage.

5. <u>Ir. Popham</u> gave a brief account of the mechanish by which the ionised sphere, and hence the electromagnetic pulse, is formed. Electrons are stripped from atoms in the vicinity of the burst by the high energy neutrons and gamma radiations. The consequent Compton electrons flow outward radially, until eventually slowed down and absorbed, and a large sphere of ionised matter results. Oscillations of this sphere produce the electric field observed at a distance. The size of the sphere only varies slightly with weapon yield. About 4,000 volts/metre is the order of magnitude of the electric field expected at its surface. Time history of the LY pulse shows a rapid rise time, in 10⁻⁰ seconds, a duration of about 10 to 30 microseconds, with frequencies about 10⁴ cycles/second. Peak field strength

increases with yield, scaling as 7⁴³. Both the electric field and the corresponding magnetic field have been determined for ranges outside the ionised sphere but conditions within the sphere are not known with any accuracy. Extrapolation from observed values outside is not possible, since the highly conducting nature of the sphere distorts all the parameters.

6. Calculation of the induced currents from the field strength and dimensions of the conductor was not difficult. With a simple probe this became the product of field strength and probe length, and diminishes directly with distance from burst. Obviously with more complicated circuitry, comprising loops and sections differently aligned to the field, current strength could not be so easily assessed, and the simple calculation was not valid if the conductor approached the wave length of the pulse. However, it was apparent that large local voltages could build up, with results depending upon resistance or insulation in the circuit. Inergies were comparable with those of normal radar, at distances of about 1,000 metres from the ionised sphere.

7. <u>Ir. Tiles</u> asked if the ionised sphere could be regarded as a dipole and <u>Ir. Topham</u> agreed that this rationalisation was used in calculating effects, assuming a vertical axis, which appeared to be justified. In reply to <u>Mr. Law</u>, <u>Fr. Garrard</u> indicated the variation of field strengths with distance as shown by curves for electric and magnetic fields. The relation

 $=\frac{10^7}{R}$ (E in volts/metre, R in metres) was true outside the ionised sphere,

but within it fields tended to be constant as a result of the high conductivity.

8. <u>Lr. Garrard</u> quoted some results from an actual trial with a low kiloton weapon at ground level. Feak currents in long radial wires were about 3,000 amps at 1,000 ft., and 1,000 amps at 2,000 ft. Some asymmetry was demonstrated by currents of 1,000 amps induced in transverse sections of loops. These results showed the expected order of magnitude, but that prediction at any given site would in this case have proved unreliable. Fick-up loops inside screened containers had recorded small currents out to 4,000 ft. from ground zero. Core to sheath voltages of the order of

> -2-SECR T

SLORET

200 to 400 volts were observed at half a mile in insulated multi-core cables, compared with a few tens of volts in the case of bare metal sheath cables. Sheath to earth polarities reached a few kilovolts in the case of the insulated cables. Fuller details of these observations could be made available.

Mr. Ford said that the G.T.O. must be interested in E flash effects 9, on their communications equipments, and had in fact instigated an assessment of the threat to one installation by A.K.R.L. at the instance of N.O.D. Obviously all installations should be considered, but he thought that the reliability of the data, as evidenced by the shot described by Mr. Garrard did not justify the work required. He thought some further confirmation desirable before undertaking comprehensive calculations of the threat. Mr. Popham said that the main fatures of the electric and magnetic wave forms were consistent and not based on one shot only. Er. Garrard said that this shot had been specifically instrumented for EM flash phenomena. It did answer some questions but raised others, particularly regarding the technique of measurement. Group Captain Chettle said that there was agreement on the most important features, i.e. the phenomena outside the ionised sphere and the nuclear radiation pulse. Effects on actual equipment must be assessed by, or for, the user, since the circuitry exposed was known only to him. Pr. Kilvington said he thought that, with a fundamental frequency near 104 cycles per second as quoted, damage to microwave radio relays was more likely to occur from induced currents. Ir. Garrard agreed, and said that the mixer and aerial connecting cable were likely to be vulnerable. Fr. Popham quoted an actual experiment in Australia, in which a microwave radar transmitter had been, aligned toward a kiloton range burst while in operation at a range of about 10 miles. It had recovered after missing one or two pulses. Mr. Franklin asked what hazard was likely with coaxial cables used in Transatlantic lines, and Mr. Garrard said that limits could be calculated, though differing types of sheathing would introduce variable factors.

10. <u>Mr. Forley</u> said that Home Office VHF and UHF communications installations were hardened to a 5 p.s.i. level in respect of blast, and asked how significant the corresponding frequency components of the Efflash would be at such a range. <u>Mr. arrard</u> said that 5 p.s.i. corresponded roughly with 10 KV/metre and as even 1 kilovolt/metre appeared to be at about 10 times the "0" damage radius there was obviously a hazard. It should be noted that the pulse, though generally similar to a lightning flash in effect, could not be discounted in the same way as an unlikely contingency. Given a nuclear burst, an EM flash was a certain consequence, its results only being uncertain. It was faster than a lightning flash, having a steeper rise to peak value and this might make certain types of protector ineffective. <u>Mr. Popham</u> said that A.W.R.T. had produced an analysis of the spectrum, giving the power within frequency bands. <u>Ir. Sargeaunt</u> said it was apparent that calculations must be done, and suggested that Home Office Communications might be the subject of a similar investigation by A.W.R.E. to that undertaken for the G.F.O.

11. <u>Nr. Whitcher</u> said that power installations were likely to be less sensitive than communications. If the EM pulse were regarded as severe lightning with 100% certainty, then he thought minor damage only need be anticipated. Lightning was a hazard with which they had learnt to cope. On the other hand, they were very significant users of communications, and they must take very serious note of the points made in this field. <u>Fr. Lott</u> said that there was an obvious threat to computerised control systems which were currently being developed. Overhead transmission lines might also be at risk, but obviously heavy equipment would be less vulnerable than transistorised circuits. He proposed to submit figures to the Automatic Control Committee (C.T.T.B.) and would consult the finistry of Aviation on this. <u>Fr. Garrard</u> offered to co-operate in evaluating the systems and equipments in question. <u>Mr. Fopham</u> said an environmental specification was required, to which equipment should be designed. SLCR_T

12. <u>Mr. Firth</u> asked how vulnerable were crystals, as used in UHF and VHF transmission, to this hazard. <u>Mr. Garrard</u> said that nuclear radiation represented the threat here and that although the crystals used for carrier frequency control single channel voice communications should not be seriously affected, as little as one roentgen could do permanent damage to very high precision crystals, e.g. of one in 10¹⁰ accuracy. <u>Mr. Franklin</u> said that crystals of this order of accuracy were used in the G.T.O., so that some consideration of effects on the systems concerned was merited.

13. <u>Group Captain Chettle</u> said that the pattern of investigation required could only be supplied by co-operation between 3 sorts of people:

- 1. Theoreticians and field experiments What the bomb does?
- 2. Users What is the threat?
- 3. Designers What will equipment stand?

Co-ordination of the work under these headings was obviously necessary before calculations could be made. The approach by G.F.O./M.O.D. to A.W.R.L. should be fruitful, but was not necessarily open to all users. A. W.R.F. could supply, within limitations of available staff, data on the variations in time and space of the important physical parameters after the explosion of a given bomb in a given time and place. The operating authority must specify the threat. A.W.R.I. would rarely be able to assess the vulnerability of specific equipments to the effects they calculated; this should normally be a task for the equipment designer. Ministry of Aviation (A.W.D.2 (Effects)) had information and experience in this field and would be pleased to give advice where possible. The N.V.L.C., as the appropriate interdepartmental committee were concerned to see that interdepartmental co-operation on this kind of investigation was both possible and successful. Mr. Sargeaunt said that the meeting had been very illuminating, since the nature of the hazard had been imperfectly appreciated. The lines on which work must proceed were indicated, and the users must now make an appraisal on installations for which they were responsible, to determine where screening or modification was required.

Distribution:

Thos	se pro	esent	
Nr.	L.T.]	D. Williams,	D.G W.
ľr.	S.A.	Hunwicks,	D.A.V.D.

-4-SECR.T Meeting in Room 208, at 10.30, Tuesday 3:1.20# 1964.

List of those expected:-

NAME	DEPARTMENT	REMARKS
Group Captain Chettle M' Garrard M" Wilkie	Ministry of Aviation A.W.D.	Lecturer Secretary, N.W.L.C.
M' Popham	Ordnance Board	Lecturer
M" Sarge aunt - header Will cains - Stanloury - Vavry - Miles.	Home Office, S.A.B.	
Sir Walter Merton Mr Firth Mr Colliger	Home Office	
Mr Horley - Brooker - Watson - Glaysher	(Home Office Lommunications Branch.	
M" Ford " Lilvington " Franklin	} G.P.O.	
M' Mott - Witcher	? Electricity Industry	? Nominated by Min. of Power.
M" turst	Millestry of P. Blogs + Wks	-
Col. W.T. Armour	Ministry of Defence	Nominated by A.W.D.

XY/306/01

50

Effects of Electro-magnetic Pulse from

2 Muclear Weapon on Civil Defence Interests

The meeting will be held in Room 208, Horseferry House, Dean Ryle Street, 5.V.1. at 10.3C a.m. on Tuesday, 20th October, 1964.

AGENDA

- 1. Introduction. I'r. Sargeaunt and Gp.Capt. Chettle.
- 2. Effects
- (a) Muclear Radistion at Early Times 'r. D.J. Garrard.
- ਤਿ (i) (ii) Electro-magnetic Fulse) Induced Currents and voltages Mr. E.D. Dracott. Fr. T.S. Topham. "opham.
- 3. General Discussion.



Revised Draft Agenda

Proposed Symposium on Nuclear Leapon Effects, with particular reference to the effects of Electro-m gnetic Fulse on Civil Defence interests, including Communic tions and Fourer



(To be spo sored by Ministry of Aviation, and held at the Home Office.)

		Estimate of Time
1	Introduction	
2.	Hr. Sargeaunt and Group Captain Chettle	10 minutes
	(a) Nuclear Radiation (b) (1) R.M. Pulse	50 minutes
	(ii) Induced currents and voltages)
3.	General Discussion	12 hours
		2 hrs. 30 mins.

32.4

SBC...T

MJLC/M(64)1

XY/337/02

MINISTRY OF AVIA ON

NUCLAR LAPONS LETHILITY CONTITUE

Mindusc of the Seventsenth Meeting held on Tuesday, 30th June, 1964 in Nor 458, St. Giles Court, W.C.2.

Present

D.G.A.J.

D.G. .. /N.

D.N.P.R.

Mr. L. T. D. Williams

Mr. J. K. L. Thompson D.Sc.3 for Mr. Fakley Cdr. P. B. Grotrian Mr. J. H. Williams Col. R. Bellingham Smith M.G.O. Nuclear Mr. J. W. Gibson "S" Divn./O.B.

 Mr. J. W. Gibson
 "S" Divn./O.B.

 ✓ Gp. Capt. A. J. Peart

 D.D.O.R. 10 (R.A.F.)

 G.J. (P. & J.) for Dr

Col. T. W. Armour Mr. R. D. Starkey Miss V. A. Davles Mr. F. E. J. Girling Mr. F. E. J. Girling Mr. E. R. Drake Seager Mr. S. D. Abercrombie Col. H. W. Whitcher D.C./A.M. 5. Col. H. W. Whitcher Mr. S. A. Hunwicks Gp. Capt. P. F. Chettle Mrs. M. E. Wilkie

The following also at: mdsd

Mr. P. J. Atkins Mr. J. C. Litton .i. F. H. Pavry Mr. D. J. Garrard

S.F.P./A. "S" Divn./O.B. S....B./H.O. A. Plans

i. . Plans

Apologies for absence vere received from .

Mr.	D.	0.	Fakley	11.O.D.
Mr.	\mathbb{D}_{\bullet}	H.	Chaddock	D. of A. (R. & D.)
Tr.	G.	J.	Laing	D. of A. (R. & D.)
Mr.	G.	R.	Stanbury	Home Office
Mr.	J.	D.	Davies	the Varia Lie

Item 1. Minutes of the last meeting

1. The minutes of the last meeting were accepted without amendment.

Item 2. Matters arising

2. Actions 16-1 and 16-2. Consideration of these actions was deferred to Items 4(b) and 3(c) on the Agenda, respectively.

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

3. Action 16-3. Mr. Gibson said that the Committee's directive had been noted. This must, necessity, be a continuing action. Draft minutes of the last Sub-Group N meting had been prepared and were now under consideration by the U.S. and Canadian members tefore final publication. He said that the question of these minutes bers and available to the A. B. C. A. Standardization Group had not yet arisen, but agrees that it was unlikely that such a request could be granted.

SJCKJT

H.O.D. D.C.H.J $M.O. \supset. (K)$ E.G.O. & D.C.G.S. Ordnancs Board D.O.R.3 (R.F.F.) G. T. (P. & S.) for Dr. Errington C. J. .. L. D./R.A.2. D./ .. .R.T., Deile Lolla La Panel N1 Sub.Cp. N. M.O.A. 11.0.... Coerctary

> Ordnance Board Home Office M.O.A.

Branch or Post

M.E./R.A.J. for Mr. Bisby

Representing In the Chair 4. notions 16-4 and 16-6 were noted as having been completed.

5. <u>... ion 16-5.</u> <u>Mr. Garrard</u> said that the original directive had been complied iit., and that i contract had now been made through R.M.E./Teapons Dept. with Standard Telecommunication Laboratories, who were now engaged in draing by a programe of some a mosting with interested intic addition well to ass on information from the recent JONOG-6 visit to U.S.

Item 3. Tripartite Technical Co-operation Programs. Sub-Group E. activity

6. <u>Mr. Gibson</u> said that the fact Sub-Group mosting in October 1963 had already burn discussed by the Configure. The next mosting are no each for October 14th-15th, preceded by a Panel N1 meeting in September, both taking lace in Conada. Panel H, next of shost presented when in Coffield for the current trial, planned to lave a meeting there following the 500-ton explosion. Panel N3 had hold its first meeting in U.S. in April, and details of this and future meetings would be given by Mr. Drake Seager. It was planned to review reports from the Panel Leaders in September, as a preparatory briefing for the October meeting, and, among other subjects, the U.K. views on the future use of the Suffield site should be defined by this time.

It.m 3(a). Report from Panel N1

Col. hitcher referred to the last Panel N1 meeting in October 1963 and 7. said that difficulties in implementing actions arose from the delay in issuing minutes, since these were in effect the authority required for any action. In this case the U.S. were responsible for the issue of minutes. The Panel noted and decided to support the U.K. work on biological effects of nuclear radiation. This included neutron effects on large animals, which was proce ding, but for which firm results were avaited before publication, and the effects of low gamma dose rates upon rate. Here, using food consumption as indicator of the effect, a definite res onse at 2.7 r./hr had been established, with uncor in results as vet at lower dose rates. The current Services trials of the U.K. chemical protective garment were noted, and it would be compared with the officacy of the Canadian Nimbus cloth, when the final report on the latter Wes roomwid. The the for radiac instrumentation in the field had be in subried by the Panel, but the logical step of representing this view at the next Chadrigartito manuardization Group mostly could not a laken in the absence of the minutes. The Quadripartite Group had already fixed the standards for .ator potability so that there was no need for the Panel to consider this question further. In conclusion, Col. Whitcher referred to the Suffield trial and said it was hoped that this would produce more information on blast effects on large animals.

Mr. Gibson said that the T.T.C.P. procedure was for meetings to be held 8. in rotation in the three participating countries with the host country providing the Secretary. In the case of the Sub-Group meetings, issue of the formal minutes is the responsibility of the Executive member. For panel meetings it had been proposed that the host country should provide the Chairman as well as the Scenatary, and, if this was asreed, it seemed reasonable that the host country she ld also issue the minutes. <u>Col. Thitcher</u> agreed with this, and said the the Prool N1 draft minutes in this case had been prepared by the U.N., but fift for the U.S. to issue, as this was considered a function of the commanded and matrix in a to in rectings. Mr. Gibson said that the appres of althority, mert from co-ordination, of the Sub-Group with reference to it: P.nol. as not clear to him. He proposed to follow the procedure edo, t. ' by other Sub-Groups, of collecting reports from the fanels and drawing up an agenda for the full Sub-Group meeting from their recommendations. The Chairman said that this pattern seemed sensible. Col. Whitcher said that the work of Panel N1 vs. further hangered by the lack of my clear authority to cur crt it .ork, which by reason of its diversity required the co-operation of different establishents, including the H.a.C., alismation as hespitals. ne did not propose increasing the formal membership of the Panel, since

14. <u>Mr. burgraphi</u>, gav. an account of the Symposium on E.M.P. ff.ets at Bodford, Massachusetts. This was held 22nd-26th May, and was ther fore concurrent with some of the other visits arranged through JONOG-6. Discussions covered the further analysis of the "Shall Boy" coulter, co. Mr. Abercrombic noted that this had resulted in closer agreetert bet con U.S. and U.K. on their interpretation. A summary of the U.K. work on the "close-in" field was given. The effects of the nuclear radiations, in particular of the secondary gamma derived from the neutron pulse, on the form of the electromensate ralse was a read. There was a session on shielding devices, including protection. There was a session on shielding devices, including protection. Teables, and an account of simulation work at A.F.V.L. Devices used for the include an elaborate tapered structure, 30 feet in width, which produces a travelling wave, and a trailer which carries a Mark generator, consisting of 5 units each producing 2 megavolts, which can be used in various continations. The relieves of missile systems for reasons of security.

15. <u>Mr. Aborecombiq</u> also reported on the JONOG-24 meeting in the U.K. from 23rd-25th June, 1964, which also dealt with the E.M.P. field. There was an exchange of data, and both U.S. and U.K. are preparing summaries of the information so far established. The interpretation of the "Small Boy" results is continuing, but is hampered at A.T.R.E. by lock of staff. The main effort is being concentrated on the formulation of a satisfactory "close-in" theory, since phenomona within the ionised sphere are imperfectly understood. There are ...T.R.E. experiments at Suffield to record the E.M. effects, at 30 feet above and below ground, the firoball expansion and the distortion of the earth's field. There is current U.S. work on underground shots in Novada. A technique for containing the curst had been satisfactorily diveloped, but electro-magnetic effects were distorted by the conditions.

16. The Chairman asked if electro-magnetic effects were regarded as a r al mazard under yor, that conditions, and <u>r</u>. Garvine ward that this was the U.S. opinion. It was apparent that the problem depended upon the design of the particular equipment and with the U.S. reticonce on minoile systems the information available was incomplete.

17. Itom 4(b). D. P. Phanh Hazard o Equiproato

<u>Gp. Capt. Chettle</u> referred to Action 16-1, requiring the formation of a small manel to co-ordinate knowledge of this hazard. He found that, in effect, this already existed in the 2.2. Thash Penel of the Connected, which ad iterl? been unable to proceed to the production of a guide for designers and producers. He had found correspond to the production of a guide for designers and producers. He had found correspond to a proceed to the proposed to arrange the effect, but beins not convinced of its importance. Designers had need the effect, but beins on the these responsible for operational requirements in specific fields on a sufficient of a sufficient this tay to identify specific problems, in each field in which they existed. He had already started action to bring about the first of these short seminars which, for convenience would be with M.O.D. (Air) operational requirements and expendence of the repeated with other bodies. <u>Mr. Pavry</u> said that he would like to be kept informed so and ended

18. <u>Mr. Thompson</u> said that the Ministry of Defence *x* . Accived a request from Bomber Con and, to assess the danger to communications arising from T.M. flash. This problem had been referred to A.M.R.E. <u>Mr. Pavry</u> said that the G.P.O. were involved in this, and there were also Civil Defence and telecommunications aspects. He had been informed by the G.P.O. that M.O.L. authority was needed for action. It as much that this for a viscon obtained. <u>Hr. Abercombic</u> said induced currents in underground cables and land-lines must be constructed, along with the power supply and telecommunications involved. There had been a meeting of Alfermation with the Bell Delephone Co. to correl to efforts with results from Small Boy. They would on the was glad to note that there was now a specific problem on the May to solution. He asked Gp.Capt. Chettle to keep in touch with this work. <u>Mr. Cirping</u> caid that the diverse factors

21

SJCRET

- 6 -

contributing to this problem showed the difficulty for those concerned with weapons systems. Operational requirements could only refer in vague terms to the hazard, and <u>Gp. Capt. Peart</u> agreed that this was what had happened at the Air Ministry.

Item 4(c). E.M.I. Report of Fuze Experiments at D.O.R.F.

19. <u>Gp. Capt. Chettle</u> said that a meeting chaired by D.S.R.(L) had considered this report, and agreed that the results should have a wider distribution than that male so far. R.R.E. had agreed to prepare an abstract to summarise the knowledge gained at these tests. <u>Mr. Girling</u> said that the establishment of mistriggering and permanent damage levels with respect to the reactor pulse did not necessarily establish where these levels cam in a bomb environment. He recognised two sources of error in attempting translation of results in terms of a reactor environment to those of a bomb environment. It would be possible either to proceed beyond the limits justified by the data, or by keeping within the established limits, to produce an interpretation too vague to be of use. The mistriggering mechanism, 'ifferent for each fuze, also appeared to differ for the two types of environment. He was at present unsure of the value of the paper that could be extracted from these results. <u>Mr. Garrard</u> welcomed this analysis and agreed to discuss the results further with Mr. Girling.

Itom 5. N.A.T.O. Symposium on Effects of Nuclear acapons on Underground Structures

20. The Chairman said that he must omit this item from the Agenda in view of the time taken over the foregoing discussions, and apologized to these interested.

Item 6. Any other business

Itom 6(a) Paper N.LC/P(64)4

21. The Secretary announced the distribution of Paper NWLC/P(64)4 to U.S. and Canadian recipients of A.W. Plans Note 45, which was the primary reason for its production, in response to their request at the last Sub-Group N meeting. It had also been made available to Committee members. Miss Davies asked why, if "DISCRE.W" material had been made available to Canada, as in this case, some reports in this category had been omitted. The Secretary replied that this had been agreed between the originator and T.T.L., who had prepared this bibliography. The Chairman said that it seemed to be a very good piece of work, and asked the Secretary to express the Committee's appreciation.

Item 6(b). Reports on "Buffalo" and "Antler" trials

Mr. Drake Seager said that he would like to have the Committee's 22. opinion on the desirability of completing the publication of the reports from these trials. There were about 6 (of 40) outstanding, and these were unlikely to be printed unless the Committee asked for them, since Technical Services at A.W.R.E. regarded other work as more pressing. The Chairman said that he had previously observed with concorn that L.W.R.E. apparently face difficulties in producing reports in times comparable with other Establishments and that delays of some years after the carrying out of the relovant experiments were not unknown. In the cases menti ned by Mr. Drake Seager, the experiments had been carried out more than six years previously. He thought it would be most unfortunate if Departments were to be deprived of even a pert of the information derived from these trials, which had cost so much in money and effort to mount, and he said that the appropriate Division of A.J.R.E. should be asked to expedite the publication of these missing reports.

ACTION MR. Hanwicks

Item 7. Date of next meeting

This was left open, to be notified at some future time.

ACTION Secretary Mr. R. Firth

19/7/1

illectromcgnetic Effects of Nuclear Explosions

You sent me a minute on this subject on the 2nd November.

We had a meeting with Mr. Carrard of DGAW, Ministry of Aviation, about 9 months and prior to his leaving for the U.S. to participate in the "Small Boy" trial in Newada in the Summer. Mr. Garrard was in Washington again recently to attend a symposium on 13/14th November at which some of the results of the trial were discussed, and a brief note of this meeting is attached.

We have now had the opportunity of meeting Mr. Garrard again together with representatives of Communications Branch and the GPO and hearing some account of this work. While very interesting scientifically it is obvious that at the present time there are so many unexplained anomalies that it would be quite in ossible to recommend any particular course of action until we have further information.

A representative of the Bell Telephone Company - one of the major contractors in the trial - will be in this country soon and we shall take the opportunity of talking to him. In the U.S. a large body of experts has been recruited to try and make some sense of the results, and I doubt very much whether we shall hear any hing more before the Summer at the earliest. In the meantime farrard will be working on his own results and will let us have a copy of his report as soon as it is ready.

My genera' feeling is that the normal precautions that are taken against lightning both in communication circuits and in power lines will be a particl safe mard for communication circuits and t it more thought is required as to what should be done for power.

SARGEAUNT

Chief Scientific Adviser 4th January, 1963.

I hope after the "Bell" meeting that I shall not have to be so negative.

2

1 BY A.L.

63A

Basic-principles E.M.P. protection

- *. Lightning Protection for all power cables; power supply as much as possible by a power station within the hardened facility
- '. Fut wires in boxed, grounded circuits
- 5. Jae ground-screen over air-conditioning outlets. Ground all ducts
- 4. Ground rebar especially if tack-welded
- ·. Install largest available lightning arresters on power-system transformators
 - . Install spark gaps on telephone lines
 - . Ground cable outer-shields
- . . . nsure that signal cable shields are well grounded at point of entry
- . Bury cable as deeply as economically feasible
- . Connect the water pipes and other metallic entries into the grounding system
- 1. Install lightning arresters on antennas and input leads which cannot be directly grounded
 - . Educate rersonnel in protection practices
- 5. .dopt protection procedures to particular areas
- .. Jo not interrupt shielding provided by outer conductor when a lead is tied to coazial cable
- . Ground all non-essential equipment
- . cau: natural grounds are often unsatisfactory use massive counter poist at each site
- 1 . Ensure that entire conduit system is well grounded
- 18. Avoid use nonconducting lubricants when assembling conduit pipes
- 19. Ensure that electrical contact exists between conduit and terminal box
- 20. Install grounding strap from terminal box to door at box
- . Specify EM-testing of the completed installation with appropriate simulation devices to ensure adequate protection

Ise dry rather than oilfilled transformators

. Provide surge protection for emergency power equipment

1. Inghtning protection on all above ground lines:

. If power equipment supplies several sites, install lower value fuse the equipment end

. U. J. e fuses rather than circuit breakers - Gavlava

'7. Do not use slow-blow or delay fuses

. . Jesian fuses within safety margin

. Fromue automatic closing doors with recessed fits for shielded rooms

pression dehumidified storage for materials to be used in dehumidified areas

others across the areas

there procey

32. Put single-phase protection on all three phase equipment

33. Ensure that the intrasystem wiring conforms to a "tree" or radial wiring scheme

Copies wont to:-Brooker > G.P.O. (see doe. 65) UNWMO ? MPBW See D.O. sheet M of Power S CD 16171

for the second s

iffects files, ton

E.'.'. Vorkshor at Cinti Firtara 21.t-30t. June, 1965

Er. S.D. Abercrombie and Dr. E.L. in contr fill. A. n: Er. D.J. Garrard of Ministry of Aviation were invited by D.A.S.A. to participate in this project. The idea was to get together those people linearly incerned in the determination of the electromagnetic flash Sim. f., nuclear explosions and in the evaluation of its interaction with give , with a view to writing a comprehensive document as a basis for future design. It was felt that the present moment was opportune because the cessation of atmospheric testing has meant that little more information is to be expected in the foreseeable future, and because the large theoretical effort which has been put into this study is about to be diverted on to other problems.

A group of about 30 people therefore met at D.A.S.A. invitation at the 1.1.2.A. Data Centre G.E. Tempo, Santa Barbara, California from the light to the 29th June, 1965. After a brief introductory talk the party divided into four sections each charged with writing one chapter of the provided and the section of the provided section of the provided section.

1. 1 sic plenomena.

NT 7

- 2. Electro-ignamic ' e in El fieli cilculations.
- . I'm industrin into systems.
- 1. In right ? techni

et.." I there only for the first author re-conside and was an A result.

The testive checkle for or intermediate for a first of the 20 start does to at A length first intermediate to the testion and intermediate intermediate intermediate contermediate and the model intermediate it respects to the testion of this year. It is not intended it respects to the testion of this year. It is not intended it respects to the testion of the year of the world mean it respects to the testion of the year of the world of the it respects to the testion of the year of the world of the it respects to the testion of the year of the testion of the it respects to the testion of the testion of the testion of the constant of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of the testion of the testion of the second of the testion of the testion of testion of testion of the second of testion of test

'ettra male fflios in iteration in the first andbook, but period in the state of the state of the state in the connection and it would be not the state of the state in the order of such a document. It will be the state of the state of the state of cument unless we ask for it, as shown by the fact that '.'. I will not in last year's EMP conference at Boston have not the ent corte of the proceedings at the same time as U.S. participant.

I is priended to i to be leader with the state is from y lits to value Laboratories under the ord of the off from y siteratance of the L.E.E. symposium at the University of little on " or "lit ation effects on Electronic components be written outly.

The esterious tree.

I. . ; RARD.

2 . . /

The the second s

III P METERS CARAM

1 to 1. . 1 Mer Gene arres and a stivity Malik

- - l. r mit ummae
 - ?. Air Gr n?
 - . Fi nor Prolucte

II. Gumma Ry Tra vert (""haef r"

- 1. 'cattering
- 2. "curce Reoratry
 - .. Instruction
 - l. Tint
 - c. he'l

TIT. ... 'EL try 'D PP1

- 1. n. trivity
 - S. Hr 7
 - t. Cibr
- .. 'r 1964 rticle Ien ity
 - . I r ed parameter
 - t. It. V. and reaction
- - s. Field iej.
 - ·. trde.

IL. Reconceptions for Future for in .

- 1. "na .sr .nd
 - t. atte the str
 - · · · · · · ·
 - . 1. '... v stron.
- . Inter a tutton of Fonte Jurio
- . Air is istry
- V. a Prater DAT

<u>C.t.r.. Electrolyn. soc. Powar II Feld</u>

- The Transferrer Toration
- II. Is the right further that the "Youther the 1. Filled I. Field
 - . The 3" " the 314 1

III. Asymmetrie 1. Ne. Fulf e Lowitze . Icapair fires (long-sire r. Wit close in linter · · · · Line T 11 sur t F. st and Air Asymmetry (Suyder ý., Free tir Furth, the agnetic Effect (Karzas) 11. X-Ray Effect ,E rza VII. V F D Effects (Reinheimer, Dracott, Whitther Spille V.HI. references Chiter J. MC Inductor int Cystem: Artuan Fills a Trauni finger Τ. 11. Il ct... Tre erties i't a Bround , cott, et . . Cuble : Ty es. racteristics. Terminations (all 111 211 - 11 Tet use this consting Induced Cable Current 1. Longine (H. 2. I-I ' ... it' noe . .FI Jr ... Yr ton ¥., Mi tile . ' a ' Courlin - Wereast er The Contract of the alle I and VII. Lirect Long no X Ray Effect Longuire VIII. econetic r fronter leter 211 17. Peliment 'in fr Etipe ... All ¥., Teferen. c "lotter 4. Tiers of "Terraius ter -You "weiw r lightin 1. Trenmenclo 1 A a. " le prodition. Cuanties una erro pre rom t. The exerned the more provider. Abercrombie ... Invironmental is "tor " rrard. Abercrombie. I star a. System exposure requirements t. Energy sources c. Free field sources Nuclear '1', l'at . " uters II. 1. Introduction, inight Feature . Atomic Furst . Confinement and convergence . Litlication f ord in lation

Frferer e Pr. Pr.

-2-

Ministry of Aviation Memorandum FROM : (Branch and Address) man and the for the second 1966 ... AND 2 Effects F.V. Pavon English Branch Telephone No. Extr. 2092 Our rol.: XY 306/04. Home Office, Moracking Hora Your ref. Dar Frank MIZS/DASA /, Z.M.P. Very many thinks for the right of the C)16249 Attached note by Don Smith of Dawn. I have then minana (of the linder attack is afflicity dechasified - the first time the monthe in field has been stilled below sent Atamic game L.H. IR desconserving this with AWR? Use the reverse for sont invation or reply as necessary. Re may have got away with it by omitting time statements. To you think I ought to awang a & some this working party? I'm not clear on its times of reference) iemici

See Carrard's note on classification. He is obviously interested that this has been officially down-graded by DASA. This report - "Effects of the Electromagnetic Fulse" - Was obtained by Mr. Stanbury at the May 1966 meeting of the NATO Scientific Working Farty)

F. H. Pavry

17th June, 1966

SECRET



MINISTRY OF POWER Thames House South, Millbank, LONDON S.W.I Telephone: Abbey 7000 / 1007

Our reference: 15/9/01 Pt.2

22nd July, 1966.

Your reference:

Dear Pavry,

E.M.P. EFFECTS

On 15th June you sent us on loan Copy No. 240 of a document NATO SECRET SA-6-2-04(SUS)1 - Seminar on The Effects of Nuclear Weapons on Underground Structures to see pages 21-38 which relate to E.M.P. Effects.

Is there any objection to Messrs. Mott and Whitcher of the Electricity Supply Industry having sight of this document before we return it to you? Both have been brought in on this subject and, as you know, have received papers from you. Both have responsibilities for defence planning arrangements in their industry and are security cleared to see documents up to and including SECRET classification.

Yours sincerely,

AIF

(H. L. Spencer)

F. H. Pavry, Esq., Scientific Adviser's Branch, Home Office, Horseferry House, Dean Ryle Street, S.W.1.

VT



With Compliments of Mr.Gelly

Warning and Monitoring Branch Home Office Horseferry House Dean Ryle Street S. W. 1

Tel: VIC 6655 Extn. 680

CONFIDENTIAL

CDA/59 36/18/2

Mr. R. H. F. Firth Communications Branch

Electro-Magnetic Pulse

I am trying to establish the present position on this subject and have written to the Chief Scientific Adviser asking him to let me know what progress, if any, has been made on the scientific side since he circulated his note of 28th January 1966 (ref.SAG/62 10/156/2).

2. Would you, therefore, be good enough to bring me up to date on the position so far as Communications Branch is concerned and, in particular, advise me on the following points:-

- (i) Was Elgood able to raise the question of progress in the EMP field with the Chairman of the Electronic Warfare Sub-Committee, as indicated in Ford's letter of 6th September 1965 to Brooker (ref.COM/64/71/4/1), and if so with what result?
- (11) Has Brooker heard anything further from Ford about the latter's letter of 12th May 1966 to Chew of the Ministry of Defence (Air)?
- (111) What has happened to the suggestion first made by Ford in his letter of 6th September 1965 to Brooker and later repeated to Brooker in his letter dated 22nd March 1966, about studying the effects of EMP on the warning and monitoring network?

3. Some three years have passed since Warning and Monitoring first raised the problem of EMP; I am sure you will agree that it is time we took stock and decided what, if anything, can be done to produce some results.

CONFIDENTIAL

(Signed) J. Gelly

29th September 1966.

Warning and Monitoring Branch

COPY



Please address any reply to THE COMMANDANT and quote: ROC/JHG Your reference:

Mr. J.P. Gelly Warning & Monitoring Branch Home Office Horseferry House Dean Ryle Street London S.W.1 HEADQUARTERS ROYAL OBSURVER CORPS Bentley Priory, Stinmons, Middlesex Telephone: Bushey Heath 4000, ext. 206

20th December 1966

Refers to EMP Working Group's report, "Electromagnetic Pulse Phenomenology and Effects (U)"; DASIAC Special Report 41 (DASA 1731), April 1966, classified: "Secret Restricted Data".

(DASA 1731 = AD372860L.)

Dear John

** _ recently attended a talk by Mr. Abercrombie of A.W.R.E. on the effects of E.M.P. etc generated by a nuclear explosion, on communications and on electrical and electronic equipment and cables. He said that much research on this had been done by the Americans and that the basic technical data is contained in an unclassified report issued by them reference DASA 731 entitled "Electro-Magnetic Pulse Phenomenology"

I understood that this report contains a very great deal of technical information, graphs, tables etc, from which it is possible for users to interpret the possible effects of E.M.P. on their equipment and communications.

Mr. Abercrombies lecture dealt only with the principles of the E.M.P. phenomena as contained in the American reports and he emphasized that, because the physical layout and conditions of the communications and equipment of each user varied so widely, it would be inappropriate for him or A.W.R.E. to undertake studies of effects on behalf of users. He quoted the case of a RAF Command to whom he had given his lecture, later sending hims long list of questions, all of which could have been answered by the Command's technical staff by reference to the data contained in the American report. I gather that this apparent lack of co-operation has led to certain ill feeling and has wrongly been interpreted that only scant information exists about E.M.P. The fact is that only limited work has been done on applying the known data to communications networks. In my opinion this work is not properly the task of A.W.R.E. but should be undertaken by local technical staffs, based upon data provided by organisations such as A.W.R.E.

I know you are concerned about the effects of N.N.P. on our communications, and I thought the above might be helpful. It seems to me that, if S.A.B. could get hold of a copy of DASA 731, much work could be done in this respect. I am told that only a few copies of the report exist in this country but no doubt Home Office could get more from America. I have tracked down one copy with AWD2 in the Ministry of Aviation.



M'Gelly shoved me this letter on Dec. 22" I explained that there has been some misunderstanding. DASA 1731 (201731) is the U.S.

HOME OFFICE

14 . _

SCIENTIFIC ADVISER'S BRANCH

Notes of a meeting held on 10th January, 1967. in room 542, Horseferry House to discuss:-

march leased

Electro-magnetic Pulse

Present:-	Mr. Stanbury Col. Barnes Mr. Garrard Mr. Wright) Mr. Rogers) Mr. Gelly) Mr. Potter) Mr. Lane)	Scientific Adviser's Branch, H.O. (Chairman) Cabinet Office A.W.D.2., Ministry of Aviation G.P.C. Warning and Monitoring Organisation, H.O.
	Mr. Morley) Mr. Brooker)	Communications Branch, H.O.
	Mr. Pavry	Scientific Adviser's Branch, H.O.

Mr. Stanbury said he had called the meeting to bring all concerned up-todate on E.M.P. He had been prompted to do this because of two communications from Mr. Gelly:-

- (1) a minute dated 29th September 1966, in which he said he was trying to establish the present position, following a minute from the Chief Scientific Adviser in January 1966. (In that minute C.S.A. had reported the conclusion of the Nuclear Weapons Lethality Committee that the research effort on E.M.P. was inadequate.)
- (2) a letter dated 26th December 1966 from the Commandant of the R.O.C. to Mr. Gelly, about a lecture given by Mr. Abercrombie at Fighter Command. The Commandant reported that Mr. Abercrombie had said that basic technical data were freely available in an unclassified American report, and that local technical staffs could, with the help of that report, solve their problems.

Mr. Stanbury dealt with this second item first, saying that there had, it seemed, been a misunderstanding at Mr. Abercrombie's lecture. The Scientific Adviser's Branch had been aware of the report in question for some time, since Mr. Garrard had kept them informed of his efforts to produce an edited version of low classification: but the report itself has all along been extremely highly classified. Mr. Abercrombie had been asked about his lecture, and denied that he had said the report was unclassified.

As regards a lowly-classified version of the American report, Mr. Garrard said he had completed his draft, and it is now with the American authorities, whose approval is necessary before it can be made available. He hoped that approval would be forthcoming, and that it might be possible to issue the report within a few months, but it depended on how quickly the U.S. authorities acted.

With regard to Mr. Gelly's first point, Mr. Garrard said that (following the comments of the N.W.L. Committee on the lack of adequate research) the Ministry of Defence Weapons Defence Committee had set up a Working Party to advise on how to solve the problem.

Col. Barnes said he was associated with a separate study of E.M.P. by the Ministry of Defence. A report was soon to be made available through the Cabinet Communications Electronics Space Committee, and Col. Barnes said he would try to make copies available to the Home Office.



SECRET

SECRET



MOD Form 136 Reference 407/104/11 10. Minute Sheet No. Encl. Clas. Minutes and Brief Details of Enclosures No. M.1. Sin.LS / 550 - C.Nº 2 of S A.d. 10/12/20. 23 S AWRE Programme of Work. MX. S DEC. 1970. - Mm. + ES (Provos) from A.S.NE. ref 27 XRS 3 / 9.4/1920 / 70 - NWE. Symposium 11/12/70. M3. 19/4/71 L.M. from D.O.T.E. Nebes 68/506/A2. S 31. MH-2/70 Report - Collated Papers Presented at the 28 1 S N.W.E. Symposium Kellon 11/12/70 - CN0250-6250 M5. Mb.407/104/11/10. 128 Pages. 17/11/71 Letter from RARDE reb FCR/872/011 cov. c/s 44 Speech notes - Nove. HARS. SIMP. - Serial 6 (Pr. 1) reb 3 S220/71 - C.Nº 20/7 MG





SECRET

Page 104

4 Conclusion

In the two years since we first started work we have begun to understand some of the problems caused by EMP. A degree of confidence has been gained from this experience, but it is recognised that the greater part of the task lies in the future and there is still much work to be done.

SECRET

EMP simulator test of tank, Nuclear Weapons Effects Symposium, 11 December 1970 (UK National Archives, DEFE 7/2401)



Figure 1

SECRET



LALIFIED FOR THA

BY AWE ALDERMASTON.

AWE: SDTN No 3/94

~ 18/1117

E521/112

ATOMIC WEAPONS ESTABLISHMENT

DIRECTOR SAFETY AWE

SAFETY DIVISION TECHNICAL NOTE 3/94

A SUMMARY OF THE EFFECTS

OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS

A C WOODVILLE

60 381 CHE117 [IMC 716300

June 1994

34220001)

924638

UNCLASSIFIED

AWE: SDTN No. 3/94

ATOMIC WEAPONS ESTABLISHMENT DIRECTOR SAFETY AWE

SAFETY DIVISION TECHNICAL NOTE 3/94

A SUMMARY OF THE EFFECTS

OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS

WITH REFERENCE TO THE UK ATMOSPHERIC

NUCLEAR WEAPONS TEST PROGRAMMES

<u>1952 - 1958</u>

A C WOODVILLE

Section Leader

Issue Authorised

Maish

MHP/PDS (C&DE): T P Maish MSRP

A Sullit

MHP: G C R Sallit MSRP

allisid

Approved

D Safety: Dr G Ballard

Director Safety AWE Aldermaston Reading Berkshire RG7 4PR

June 1994

34220004

TABLE 1

UK ATMOSPHERIC NUCLEAR WEAPONS TESTS IN AUSTRALIA

Operation and Location	Date and Time (GMT)	Site	Emplacement	Altitude (m)	Best Estimate of Yield (kt)
JRRICANE è Bello, WA	03 10 52 00002	Lagoon (12m deep)	Aboard HMS Plym	m I	25
OTEM	14 10 53 21302		Tower	e e	10
rteta, 3A	26 10 53 21302	T2	Tower	31	co
MOGATO			1		
TTROOM	0350Z	allinoutit to	Towor.	Τ£	15
WW TOTTO	19 06 56 0214Z	G2 Alpha	Tower	31	60

TABLE 1 Continued

Operation and Location	Date and Time (GMT)	Site	Emplacement	Altitude (m)	Best Estimate of Yield (kt)
BUFFALO	27 09 56 07302	One Tree	Tower	31	15
Maralinga, SA	04 10 56 07002	Marcoo	Ground Surface	0	1.5
	11 10 56 05572	Kite	Airburst	150	m
	21 10 56 14352	Breakaway	Tower	31	10
ANTLER	14 09 57 05052	Tadje	Tower	31	1
Maralinga, SA	25 09 57 0030Z	Biak	Tower	31	Q
	09 10 57 06452	Taranaki	Balloon suspended	300	25

TABLE 2

UK ATMOSPHERIC NUCLEAR WEAPON TESTS IN THE SOUTH PACIFIC

Best Estimate of Yield	0.3 Mt	0.7 Mt	0.2 Mt	1.8 Mt	3.0 Mt	24 Kt	1.0 Mt	0.8 Mt	25 Kt
Altitude (m)	2200	2400	2400	2200	2500	450	2800	2600	450
Emplacement	Airburst	Airburst	Airburst	Airburst	Airburst	Balloon suspended	Airburst	Airburst	Balloon suspended
Site	Off Malden Island	Off Malden Island	Off Malden Island	Off SE point of Christmas Island	Off SE point of Christmas Island	Over SE point of Christmas Island	Off SE point of Christmas Island	Off SE point of Christmas Island	Over SE point of Christmas Island
Date and Time (GMT)	15 05 57 1937Z	31 05 57 1941Z	19 06 57 1940Z	08 11 57 1747Z	28 04 58 1905Z	22 08 58 1800Z	02 09 58 1724Z	11 09 58 1748Z	23 09 58 17592
Operation and Location	GRAPPLE	Christmas Island		GRAPPLE X Christmas Island	GRAPPLE Y Christmas Island	GRAPPLE Z	Christmas Island		

34220041



34220043





C. L. Longmire, "History and Physics of EMP," presentation at the Fourth NEM Symposium, Baltimore, Maryland, July 2, 1984.

negative fields; dashed lines = positive fields) 10 km range from surface bursts (solid lines =





3.53Mt, 15% fission Redwing-Zuni surface burst, 1956



Project 6.5 - Analysis of Electromagnetic Pulse Produced by a Nuclear

Explosion - Charles J. Ong

Bikini Atoll

at

OBJECTIVE

The objective of Project 6.5 is to obtain waveforms of the electromagnetic radiation for all the detonations during Operation REDWING. This data is to be used in connection with a continuing study relating the wave form parameters to the height and yield of the detonation.

INSTRUMENTATION

Two identical stations are used to record data, one at Eniwetok and one at Kwajalein.

The instrumentation consists of a wide-band receiver with separate outputs connected to each of the three oscilloscopes. Mounted on each oscilloscope is a Polariod Land Camera for recording the transient display.

RESULTS

Station A: Eniwetok

The predicted field strength was 16.0 volts per meter. The measured field strength was 14.4 volts per meter. The general waveform for the 1.0 μ sec/cm sweep was poor but the waveforms recorded for the other two scopes were good.

Station B: Kwajalein

्र 'स् ' ह

No record data due to the loss of timing with WWVH.

CONCLUSIONS

All data has been forwarded to Evans Signal Laboratory for final analysis.

- 84 -

Chapter 10

TEST of SERVICE EQUIPMENT and MATERIALS

10.3 WAVE FORM OF ELECTROMAGNETIC PULSE FROM NUCLEAR DETONATIONS

The objective was to obtain and analyze the wave form of the electromagnetic (EM) pulse resulting from nuclear detonations. In particular, broad-band measurements were made from 0 to 10 Mc at ranges up to 460 miles.

Previous measurements of the EM pulse were made during Operations Crossroads, Sandstone, Greenhouse, Buster-Jangle, Tumbler-Snapper, Ivy, Upshot-Knothole, Castle, Teapot, and Redwing. The equipment used for these measurements ranged from narrow-band tuned receivers to broad-band untuned receivers. The antennas used with these receivers varied from simple probes to specially designed discones. Equipment similar to that used by Operation Hardtack Project 6.4 had been used during Operation Castle. In general, the EM-pulse energy was found to be predominantly in the low frequencies (approximately 10 to 20 kc), with measurable components at frequencies as high as 300 Mc. The duration of the EM pulse was found to be approximately 50 μ sec, with an initial rise time as short as 10 μ sec.

346

Two stations were used: Kusaie, 460 miles from Bikini and 420 miles from Eniwetok; and Wotho, 100 miles from Bikini and 240 miles from Eniwetok.

Shot Yucca (see Figures 10.1 and 10.2). No data was recorded at Wotho for this shot because of technical photographic problems. Several camera shutters did not open. Trace intensity was, in general, too low for proper recording. Also, field strength at Kusaie indicated that deflection at Wotho would have been some five times the scope limits.

All scopes at Kusaie triggered, and the signal was recorded. The wave form was radically different from that expected. The initial pulse was positive, instead of the usual negative. The signal consisted mostly of high frequencies of the order of 4 Mc, instead of the primary lower-frequency component normally received (Figures 10.1 and 10.2). The fact that Shot Yucca was a very-high-altitude shot may have provided a more favorable propagation path for the higher frequencies that were recorded.

Shot Cactus (see Figures 10.3, 10.4 and 10.5). The signal from this shot was received and recorded at Wotho. A secondary positive spike appeared in the signal, even though a single-

347

stage nuclear device was used (Figure 10.4).

TADDE 1011 HAAD TORM AND SHOT FARAMETER	FABLE	10.1	WAVE	FORM	AND	SHOT	PARAMETER
---	--------------	------	------	------	-----	------	-----------

Shot	Yield	Number of Stages	Range	Peak Negative Field Strength	Plateau Negative Field Strength
	kt		miles	v/m	v/m
WOTHO DATA:					
Cactus	17	Single	240	1.7	0.92
Fir	1,360	Two	100	6.4	2.6
Butternut	82	Two	240	2.8	1.3
Koa	1,370	Two	240	1.2	
Holly	5.75	Single	240	1.2	0.82
Nutmeg	22.5	Two	100	0.8	2.9
KUSAIE DATA:					
Yucca	2.0	Single	440	0.45	
Butternut	82	Two	460	0.33	
Koa	1,370	Two	460	0.28	
Holly	5.75	Single	460	0.18	
Nutmeg	22.5	Two	440	0.54	

Hardtack ITR 1660 . summary extracts

AD369152



Project 6.5 - Analysis of Electromagnetic Pulse Produced by Nuclear Explosion - C. J. Ong

Objective

0100 10 10

The objective of Project 6.5 is to obtain waveforms of the electromagnetic radiation for all the detonations during Operation REDWING. This data is to be used in connection with a continuing study relating the waveform parameters to the height and yield of the detonation. Instrumentation

Two identical stations are used to record data, one at Eniwetok and one at Kwajalein.

The instrumentation consists of a wide-band receiver with separate outputs connected to each of the three oscilloscopes. Mounted on each oscilloscope is a Polaroid Land Camera for recording the transient display.

The wide-band receiver consists of one primary and four secondary cathode follower amplifiers. An antenna, frequency insensitive in the range of interest is fed directly into the primary cathode follower. The primary cathode follower is then connected to four individual cathode followers by a 50-ohm coaxial cable. Only three secondary cathode followers are utilized, the fourth serving as a spare.

The number one and two cathode followers feed oscilloscopes with sweep speeds of approximately 30 micro-seconds per centimeter and 10 microseconds/centimeter respectively. The number three cathode follower is connected to the third oscilloscope through a 2 micro-second delay line. The third oscilloscope has a sweep speed of 1.0 micro-seconds/centimeter. All oscilloscopes were triggered simultaneously by the DC







trigger device located in the primary cathode follower and connected directly to the receiving antenna. The 2 micro-second delay line was added to permit the leading edge of the waveform to be recorded.

In order to establish a definite time relationship between the reception of the signal and the triggering of a given device such as a counter or transmitter, a time marker pip, generated by the delay trigger from one of the oscilloscopes, is fed through the 2 micro-second delay line and superimposed on the initial portion of the received waveform.

Procedure

All oscilloscopes are calibrated against a known frequency standard for sweep linearity.

The cathode follower triggering system is set to trigger approximately 6 db. above the noise level. The vertical deflector of the oscilloscopes are set to receive the predicted field strength.

Results

Station A - Parry Island

Waveform traces were obtained on two oscilloscope photos and the third oscilloscope failed to function properly. The predicted field strength was 43.0 volts per meter and the measured field strength was 17.0 volts per meter. The waveform traces are of good quality.

Station B - Kwajalein

Waveform traces were obtained on two oscilloscope photos and the third failed to trigger. The predicted field strength was 25.0 volts per meter and the measured field strength was 6.8 volts per meter.

LANL RC

Line in

- 63 -



'The first attempt at a theory of [surface burst] radioflash was by [T.S.] Popham, in 1954, who suggested that radio signals were due to currents carried by Compton electrons arising from gamma rays produced in the nuclear explosion... Both the period and amplitude of the radio signal would be expected to increase very slightly with yield.' - J.B. Taylor, A Theory of Radioflash, U.K. Atomic Weapons Research Establishment, report AWRE-033/59, October 1959, Confidential, pp. 3-18. Fig 1b by Taylor gives the EMP electric field from a ~1 kiloton surface burst (presumably the Marcoo 1.5 kt shot in 1956 at Maralinga): the peak field measured at a distance of 300 km is ~28.1 v/m in the NEGATIVE direction at a time of 5 microseconds. Zero field is at 17.2 microseconds. Peak positive is at 23 microseconds with ~15.4 v/m and second zero is at 42.5 microseconds. Second negative is at 54 microseconds with about ~ 3.75 v/m. HENCE AT A DISTANCE OF 300 KM, FREQUENCY-DEPENDENT ATTENUATION INCREASES THE TIME SCALE OF THE FIRST FULL CYCLE OF THE RADIATED EMP FROM A SURFACE BURST BY A FACTOR OF TWENTY. HENCE THE PEAK FREQUENCY IS LOWER BY A FACTOR OF TWENTY AT 300 KM THAN IT IS AT 20 KM. Hence for a 1 kt surface burst, the peak close-in frequency of 1 MHz falls to just 50 kHz at 300 km.



HIGH RN SE LOYALTY pper-loop, wer-whip Sweep speed,



RINCENADA USS LOYALTY Fent ip wer whip Sweep speed is zulec

HARLEM UN LOYALTY t pper-soon wer when Sweep speed, 0.1 g see dm

has been proposed to use this phenomena as the basis of a terminal surveillance system for use aboard the Polaris.









Comparison of General Waveforms for the Dipole Moment, the Current, and the E-Field for and Air Burst

DNA-EM-1 (1978)

WT-930 (EX) EXTRACTED VERSION

OPERATION CASTLE

PROJECT 7.1

ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION CALIBRATION

PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS

March - May 1954

M. H. Olseon

Headquarters Field Command Armed Forces Special Weapons Project Sandia Base, Albuquerque, New Mexico

June 13, 1958

NOTICE

This is an extract of WT-930, which remains classified SECRET/RESTRICTED DATA as of this date.

Extract version prepared for:

Director

Defense Nuclear Agency

Washington, D. C. 20305

31 August 1984

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

OBJECTIVES

In order to gain maximum information on nuclear detonations as determined from the electromagnetic pulse received at distances, there are two fundamental problems; first, the discrimination of nuclearweapon pulses from natural atmospherics and second, the determination of the maximum information on the source itself and external conditions at detonation time, from the characteristics of the selected pulse. The 7.1 Castle project offered an opportunity to monitor detonations of nuclear devices of known composition and characteristics.

BACKGROUND

AFOAT-1 has supported experimental measurements of the pulse emitted at the time of a nuclear detonation during each series of atomic tests beginning with Buster-Jangle (Autumn, 1951). As a result of these experiments (References 1,2,3), the following can be stated with some assurance:

1. There is an electromagnetic pulse less than 100 µsec long emitted at the time of a nuclear detonation.

2. At a distance of 20 km from the generating source, the field strength may be a few hundred volts per meter.

3. There is a general relationship between kiloton yield and the vertical component of the electromagnetic field.

4. The emitted frequency spectrum extends from about 2 kc or below up to a few megacycles, but the main components are in the region of about 6 to 50 kc.

5. There is an approximate inverse relationship between yield and predominant frequency.

6. Pulses received close-in (i.e. approximately 20 km) exhibit very short rise times (less than a microsecond) in a negative direction (i.e. the electric field vector is downward).

7. The pulse is predominantly vertically polarised.

8. Close-in reception indicates that certain muclear-weapon characteristics can be determined from pulse fine structure.

9. Even low-yield nuclear detonations can produce a pulse receivable at distances in excess of 1,000 km.

10. The ground wave is generally not detectable beyond about 1,500 km from the source because the ionospheric sky wave reflections predominate.

11. A fix of the source of the pulse can be obtained with direction-finding equipment; observed azimuthal errors to date using equipment tuned to 10 kc have been between 0 and 9 degrees; most errors have been less than 3 degrees.

12. At distances, the pulse is extended to approximately ten times its close-in length. This is the result of multiple arrivals by various paths, each characterized by one or more ionospheric reflection.

13. Close-in fine structure disappears during sky wave propagation to distances.

CABLE 1	SUMMARY	OF CASTLE	RESULTS
---------	---------	-----------	---------

l

Station/Agency Distance (km) and calculated	Time as received at the station (2), correct transmission times; Remarks; Recorded asis data (v/m) ; a. Broad-band, center-to-peak	ected for nuclear detonation pulse and WWV muths to detonation points; Field Strength k (W whip, L loop); b. Narrow-band.
Bikini (B) and Eniwetok (E)	Shot 1 - 28 February 1954 - 1845:00.0112 Detonated at Bikini	Shot 2 - 26 March 1954 - 1830:00.378Z Detonated at Bikini
Eniwetok/NBS B 20 and 320 E 23	Radioactive debris fogged waveform equip- ment on Enyu Island, Bikini Atoll (20 km from detonation point).	1830:00.378 Waveform equipment was moved to Runit Island, Eniwetok for the balance of the series. $a_{*} \sim 21.0$ (W)
Cuan/NES B 2,270 E 1,920	1845:00.011 a. 0.74 (W)	1830:00.378 a. 1.54 (W) b. 0.036 (8 kc) 0.042 (12.5 kc) 0.023 (20 kc)
Mau1/NBS B 4,200 E 4,420	1845:00.010 a. 1.97 (W) b. 0.23 (8 ka) 0.26 (12.5 kc) 0.026 (20 kc)	No time record. a. 1.79 (W)
Shemya/IRL B 4,680; 209 ⁰ E 4,750; 214 ⁰	Alert notification not received in time.	1830:00.376 a. 0.054 (L) 210° ±3°
Pt. Barrow/NBS B 7,280 E 7,360	Poor timing record. E. 0.52 (W)	1830:00.378 a. 0.51 (W) b. 0.010 (8 ke) 0.011 (12.5 ke) 0.20097 (20 ke)
Stanford Univ/NBS B 7,740 E 8,000	Not in operation.	1830:00.377 a. 0.42 (W) b. 0.020 (8 kc) 0.018 (12.5 kc) 0.0018 (20 kc)
Larson AFB/AF B 8,030; 267° E 8,200; 2690	Off scale. 270° -3°	1830:00.5 * 271° ±3°
Boulder/NBS B 9,200 E 9,460	1845:00.012 a. 0.38 (W) b. 0.014 (8 ke) 0.16 (12.5 ke) . 0.0015 (20 ke)	1830:00.379 a. 0.34 (W) b. 0.016 (12.5 kc) 0.0015 (20 kc)
Thule/DRL B 9,630; 307° ±1° E 9,700; 310° ±1°	Alert notification not received in time.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Duluth/AF B 10,080; 287° B 10,280; 289°	Not in operation.	Not in operation.
Austin/DRL B 10,100; 282° ±1° E 10,350; 284° ±1°	1845:00.011 a. 0.68 (W) 287 ⁶ 13 ⁶ 0.083 (L)	1830:00.378 a. 1.10 (W) 0.13 (L)
t Belvoir/NBS B 11,530 E 11,750	1845:00.011 b. 0.006 (8 kc) 0.0044 (12.5 kc)	1830:00.378 a. 0.080 (W) b. 0.0054 (8 ke) 0.0052 (12.5 ke) 0.00078 (20 ke)
Andrevs/AWS B 11,550; 296° B 11,770	Not in operation.	1830:00.32 • 300° ±3°
B 11,750; 301° E 11,920	Equipment trouble.	1830:00.35 295° <u>-</u> 3°
Palm Besch/ANS 3 11,850; 291° 12,070	1845:00.00 * 299 ⁰ ±3 ⁰	1830100.37 294° 13 °
Lirknewton/DRL 8 12,510; 12 ⁰ 12,530; 17 ⁰	Alert notification not received in time.	Poor time correlation. -19° ±3° a. 0.049 (W)
Lindley AFB/ANS 8 12,860; 302° 1 13,100	1844:59.58 * 308° *3° /	Not in operation.

"Within limit of resolution.

toscobe and huotoRishnor.

Local Timing. Annex A of Reference 3 has a detailed account of the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) local timing unit. A typical time record from a close-in station is shown in Figure 1 and one from a TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF CASTLE RESULTS (Cont)

ł

					(*****)			
Station/Agency Distance (km) and calculated asimuths to	Time as receive transmission to data (v/m) ; a.	ed at imes; Bro	the stat Remarks; ad-band,	ion (Z), corr Recorded asi center-to-pea	ected for nuclear (muths to detonation k (W whip, L loop);	ietor h poi h b.	stion pul nts; Fiel Narrow-b	se and WWV d Strength and.
Bikini (B) and Eniwetok (E)	Shot 3 = 6 Ap Detonated at	ril 19 Bikin	954 - 182 1	0:00.4112	Shot 4 - 25 App Detonated at Bi	-il l Ukini	954 - 181	0:00.6912
Enivetok/NBS B 20 and 320 E 23	1820:00.411	8. ^	-15.0	(W)	1810:00.691	4. *	~40.0	(¥)
Guam/NBS B 2,270 E 1,920	1820:00.412	a. b.	0.61 0.0034 0.0065 0.0080	(W) (8 km) (12.5 km) (20 km)	1810:00.692	a. b.	1.06 0.023 0.043 0.020	(W) (8 kc) (12.5 kc) (20 km)
Mau1/MBS B 4,200 E 4,420	1820:00.412	å. b.	0.27 0.010 0.013 0.0013	(W) (8 kc) (12.5 kc) (20 kc)	No time record.		1.49	(W)
Shemya/DRL B 4,680; 209 ⁰ E 4,750; 214 ⁰	Alert notificat	tion	not recei	ved in time.	1810:00.689 214° ±3°	۵.	0.039 0.33	(¥) (L)
E 7,360	Poor time recor	e. b.	0.046 0.0026 0.0096 0.0031	(W) (8 kc) (12.5 kc) (20 kc)	No time record.	. .	0.29	(W)
Stanford Univ/NBS B 7,740 E 8,000	1820:00.412	a. b.	0.048 0.0023 0.0033 0.0020	(W) (8 kc) (12.5 kc) (20 kc)	1810:00.691	a. b.	0.33 0.0055 0.0087 0.0012	(W) (8 kc) (12.5 kc) (20 kc)
Larson AFB/AF B 8,030; 267° E 8,200, 269°	Record not avai	lable			Doubtful record			
Boulder/NBS B 9,200 E 9,460	1820:00,412	₿. b.	0.055 0.0011 0.0028 0.00031	(W) (8 kc) (12.5 kc) (20 kc)	1810:00.690	å. b.	0.33 0.0080 0.018 0.2011	(W) (8 kc) (12.5 kc) (20 kc)
Thule/DRL B 9,630; 307 [°] ±1° E 9,700; 310 [°] ±1°	1820:00.411 314° ±3°	8.	0.0035 0.0012	(W) (L)	1810:00.691 307° ±3°	8.	0.046 0.025	
Duluth/AF B 10,080; 287 ⁰ E 10,280; 289 ⁰	Not in operatio	D.			Record not avai	labl	₿ų	
Austin/DRL B 10,100; 282° ±1° E 10,350; 284° ±1°	1820:00.411 285° 13°	۰.	0.027 0.016	(¥) (L)	1810:00.691 288° 13°	۹.	0.27 0.12	
Ft Belvoir/MBS B 11,530 E 11,750	1820:00,412	a. b.	0.016 0.00075 0.001 0.00022	(W) (8 kc) (12.5 kc) (20 kc)	1810:00.590	ê. b.	0.090 0.0021 0.0055 0.00062	(W) (8 kc) (12.5 kc) (20 kc)
Andrews/AWS B 11,550; 296° E 11,770	Results negativ	78.			1810:00.69 294° ±3°			
Dow AFB/AF B 11,750; 301° E 11,920	Results negativ	e.			Heavy sforics a	ctiv:	Lty.	
W Palm Beach/AWS B 11,850; 291° E 12,070	Started too lat				Not in operation	n.		
Lirknewton/DRL B 12,510; 12° E 12,530; 17°	1820:00.411 29° ±3°	L.	0.013 0.003	(W) (L)	1810:00.692 22° ±3°	1.	0.0096 0.0059	(W) (L)
Kindley AFB/AWS B 12,860; 302° E 13,100	Not in operatio	n .			. Not in operation	Q.		

"Within limit of resolution. Loncope and proceduration.

Local Timing. Annex A of Reference 3 has a detailed account of the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) local timing unit. A typical time record from a close-in station is shown in Figure 1 and one from a

TABLE	1	SUMMARY	OF	CASTLE	RESULTS	(Cont))
-------	---	---------	----	--------	---------	--------	---

Station/Agency Distance (km) and calculated	Time as received at the station transmission times; Remarks; Re data (v/m); a. Broad-band, cent	a (Z), corrected for nuclear detonation pulse and WW coorded asimuths to detonation points; Field Strength cer-to-peak (W whip, L loop); b. Narrow-band.
Bikini (B) and Enivetok (E)	Shot 5 - 4 May 1954 - 1810:00 Detonated at Bikini	0.156Z Shot 6 - 13 May 1954 - 1820:00.404Z Detonated at Eniwetok
Eniwetok/NBS B 20 and 320 E 23	1810:00.156 a. ~34.0 (1	l) 1820:00.404 a.~775.0 (W)
Guam/NES B 2,270 E 1,920	1810:00.154 a. 1.45 (1 b. 0.090 (8 0.047 (1 0.029 (2	1) 1820:00.404 a. ~1.46 (W) 8 ka) b. 0.035 (8 kc) 12.5 kc) 0.028 (12.5 kc) 20 kc) 0.041 (20 kc)
Mau1/NBS B 4,200 E 4,420	1810:00.156 a. 1.31 (b. 0.12 (8 0.056 (1 0.011 (2	() 1820:00.404 a. 1.44 (W) 8 km) 12.5 km) 20 km)
Shemys/DRL B 4,680; 209 ⁰ E 4,750; 214 ⁰	1810:00.155 a. 0.073 (k 215° - 3° 0.42 (1	$\begin{array}{c} 1820:00.401 & a. & 0.037 & (W) \\ 210^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ} & 0.38 & (L) \end{array}$
Pt Barrov/185 B 7,280 E 7,360	1810:00.158 a. 0.35 () b. 0.013 (8 0.014 (1 0.0011 (2	No time record. b. 0.013 (8 kc) 8 kc) 0.014 (12.5 kc) 12.5 kc) 0.0011 (20 kc) 20 kc) 0.0011 (20 kc)
Stanford Univ/NBS B 7,740 E 8,000	No record	1820:00.405 a. 0.51 (W) b. 0.012 (8 kc) 0.019 (12.5 kc) 0.00097 (20 kc)
Larson AFB/AF B 8,030; 267° E 8,200; 269°	Poor signal	1820:00.3 * 272° ±3°
Boulder/NBS B 9,200 E 9,460	1810:00.158 b. 0.013 (8 0.014 (1 0.00057 (2	3 kc) 1820:00.405 a. 0.30 (W) 12.5 kc) b. 0.0068 (8 kc) 20 kc) 0.017 (12.5 kc) 0.0017 (20 kc)
Thule/DEL B 9,630; 307° 10° E 9,700; 310° 10°	1810:00.157 a. 0.041 (h 306° 13° 0.032 (1	$\begin{array}{c} 1820:00.404 & a. & 0.071 & (W) \\ 310^{0} \ 13^{0} & 0.028 & (L) \end{array}$
Duluth/AF B 10,080; 287° E 10,280; 289°	1810:00.17 * 288° ±3°	1820:00.3 * 288° ±3°
Austin/DRL B 10,100; 282° 10° E 10,350; 284° 10°	1810:00.158 a. 0.259 (k 2860 ±30 0.14 (I	1) 1820:00.405 a. 0.24 (W) 291° ±3° 0.13 (L)
Ft Belvoir/NBS B 11,530 E 11,750	1810:00.158 a. 0.070 (k b. 0.0037 (8 0.0040 (1 0.0031 (2	1820:00.405 a. 0.078 W) b. 0.0020 (8 kc) 2.5 kc) 0.0056 (12.5 kc) 20 kc) 0.00084 (20 kc)
Andrews/AWS B 11,550; 296° E 11,770	1810:00.17 * 298° ±3°	Not in operation.
Dov AFB/AF B 11,750; 301° E 11,920	Record not available.	1820:00.2 *
W Palm Beach/AWS B 11,850; 291° E 12,070	1810:00.14 292° ±3°	Not in operation.
Elrknewton/DRL B 12,510; 12 ⁰ E 12,530; 17 ⁰	1810;00.158 a. 0.0086 (h 26° ±3° 0.0063 (l	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 21^{\circ} \\ 21^{\circ} \\ 3^{\circ} \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} a. & 0.012 (W) \\ 0.0070 (L) \\ \end{array}$
E 13,100	1810:00.18 * 306° -3°	Not in operation.

"Within limit of resolution.

roscobe and hnorographer.

Local Timing. Annex A of Reference 3 has a detailed account of the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) local timing unit. A typical time record from a close-in station is shown in Figure 1 and one from a



Figure 5 Plot of selected Castle data showing the relationship between peak field strength and yield on an east-west path. Frobable curves, drawn by inspection, are shown for Maui, Stanford University and Ft. Belvoir.







Effects of buildings on maximum EMP from a generic "Fatman" type bomb in downtown Houston, Texas

Tall buildings (1) attenuate horizontal prompt gamma rays, (2) attenuate the line-of-sight (UHF) EMP frequencies

Nuclear EMP simulation for large-scale urban environments, Los Alamos LA-UR-12-24078, August 2012 Scott Smith, Jeff Bull, Trevor Wilcox, Randy Bos, Xuan-Min Shao, Tim Goorley, Keeley Costigan